notification. Therefore we should not allow the factory to walk away with public money. Only when Bellary became part of Mysore, there seems to have been some delay in the issue of notifications. Therefore Members would appreciate the reasonableness of this Bill. There is no hide and seek at all. We are not going to penalise them at all. They should not take shelter under a legal lacuna. I hope all Members would support me in safeguarding a sum of Rs. 44 lakhs. Government is not a charitable house to fritter away its resources. I hope all will appreciate the stand of the Government. At the earliest possible moment, we have come before the House.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—The Minister did not speak about Clause 14.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We are re-enacting section 14 and trying to validate the notification.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Madras Sugar Factories Control (Mysore Amendment and Validation of Levy of Cess) Bill, 1959 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That clauses 2 to 6, both inclusive, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 6 both inclusive, were added to the Bill.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That clause 1, the Preamble and the Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Preamble and the Title were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Madras Sugar Factories Control (Mysore Amendment and Validation of Levy of Cess) Bill, 1959, be passed."

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Madras Sugar Factories Control (Mysore Amendment and Validation of Levy of Cess) Bill, 1959, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

OFFICIAL RESOLUTION RE. RATIFICATION OF AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 334 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—Sir, I beg to move the following resolution:

"That this House ratifies the amendment to Article 334 of the Constitution of India, proposed to be made by the Constitution (Eighth Amendment) Bill, 195 ', as passed by the two Houses of Parliament."

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Resolution moved:

"That this House ratifies the amendment to Article 334 of the Constitution of India, proposed to be made by the Constitution) (Eighth Amendment) Bill, 1959, as passed by the two Houses of Parliament."

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, sub-clause (*d*) of the proviso to Article 368 of the Constitution of India requires ratification in the manner mentioned therein in the case of an amendment seeking to make any change in the representation of States in Parliament. The House of the People is a part of Parliament under Article 79. Not only is there privision for representation of States in the Council of States under article 80, but that even in respect of the House of the People also there is provision for

(SRI B. D. JATTI)

such representation inasmuch as members thereof are to be chosen with reference to the territorial constituencies in the States and the population of the States. Therefore an amendment of article 334 so as to extend the period specified therein will have to be in conformity with the proviso to article 368 which requires ratification by the Legislatures of not less than one-half of the States in the manner mentioned in the proviso. That is why I have moved this resolution. Really two amendments were moved,—one to article 333 and the other to article 334—in the Parliament. The amendment to article 333 was not accepted by the Parliament but the amendment to article 334 was accepted in the Parliament. As I have already explained, under sub-clause (*d*) of the proviso to article 368 it is necessary that any amendment of the Constitution has to be ratified by 50 per cent of the State Legislatures in the country and that is why this resolution has been moved.

The only change made in the original article 334 is that instead of "ten years" it should read "twenty years". That means that the reservation will be for ten years more. That is the only meaning of the amendment. Since the commencement of the Constitution reservation was given to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes people for a period of ten years, but during this period it has not been possible for these people to come up to the standard expected of them. That is why it has been thought necessary that the reservation should be extended by ten years more. I hope the House will pass this resolution unanimously.

Business of the House.

†SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM (Kolar Gold Fields).—Sir, according to the programme chalked out by the Business Advisory Committee this resolution is to come up only on the 21st.

SRI B. D. JATTI.—The Business Advisory Committee allots only the time and not the date.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—It had been put down for the 21st. Now all of a sudden the Government have taken us by surprise by taking it up today. This is a very important resolution.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—This is included in the order of business for the day and so we can discuss it today.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—According to the Business Advisory Committee's report it is to be taken up only on the 21st. We will have to follow some programme.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Today's order of business was distributed to members and this was included in it. Moreover, the Business Advisory Committee only allots time and does not fix dates.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—If you see the Report of the Business Advisory Committee it is stated there that this will be taken up on the 21st. If any change is sought to be made by Government at least we want 24 hours notice.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It was put down for today's agenda and so we shall discuss it.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Why do you want to change the programme chalked out by the Business Advisory Committee? You have made this change because you are powerful. We accept it because we have no power, but it must be borne in mind that the House also has power and authority.

†SRI M. RAMAPPA (Harihar).—It is true that the Business Advisory Committee has put down the dates also in its report. It is the duty of the Business Advisory Committee to allot only time and not to fix the dates, but rightly or wrongly the report of the Business Advisory Committee contains dates also. It would be proper for the Committee in future to omit the dates; otherwise we are likely to be misled to think that the date is specified in the report of the Committee. Anyway, I request the Chairman of the Business Advisory Committee not to give room for such doubts hereafter.